### Examples of biogeochemical studies using ROMS/CROCO-PISCES

#### Vincent Echevin and colleagues



LOCEAN



vincent.echevin@ird.fr

3 examples from the Peru upwelling system:

- Long-term trends (1973-2008) in the oxygen minimum zone
- Impacts of climate change under RCP8.5 "worst-case" scenario
- Submesoscale dynamics



**Open Boundary Conditions** 

Physics : global models (1/12°, 1/4°, 2°)

Biogeochemistry : climatologies (CARS, WOA, GLODAP, Fe from ORCA-PISCES )



#### CROCO-PISCES (1/9°~12 km)





#### First step for each BGC study: model evaluation of the physics

Evaluation of the physics (sea level, temperature, currents, mixed layer depth,...) => very important step : realism of biogeochemical fields depend strongly on realism of the physics



## First step for each BGC study: model evaluation of the physics

Evaluation of the physics (sea level, temperature, currents, mixed layer depth,...)

=> very important step : realism of biogeochemical fields depend strongly

on realism of the physics





## First step for each BGC study: model evaluation of the physics

Evaluation of the physics (sea level, temperature, currents, mixed layer depth,...) => very important step : realism of biogeochemical fields depend strongly

on realism of the physics









Comparison with a glider section north of Peru in November-December 2015





80<sup>0</sup>W

30'

81°W

4-15dec

15-17dec

30'

2000

1000

79<sup>°</sup>W





Comparison with a glider section north of Peru in November-December 2015





80<sup>0</sup>W

81°W

30'

4-15dec

15-17dec

30'

2000

1000

79<sup>°</sup>W





-60 -80 -80 -80 -80 -80 -100 -120 

30'

79<sup>°</sup>W



80<sup>0</sup>W

81<sup>°</sup>W

30'

Comparison with a glider section north of Peru in November-December 2015









Model (4 km res.)



Model (4 km res.)



#### Oxygen long term trends in the Peru OMZ

- What drives oxygen variations in the Peru upwelling systems?

El Nino/La Nina: Espinoza-Morriberon et al, (2019), Frontiers in Marine Sciences

Long-term trends: Espinoza-Morriberon et al.(2021), Scientific Reports

#### Model configuration of this study:

- ROMS-PISCES model (1/6°~ 20 km), 1958-2008 period
- SODA global model for physical open boundaries
- CARS climatology O2 + nutrients for bgc open boundaries
- atmospheric forcings: NCEP, CFSR,...



#### **Trends in the Peru-Chile region**



## Trends in the Peru-Chile region





nstitut de Recherche

Instituto francés de Investigación para el Desarrollo

Gutierrez et al. (2011)

## Evaluation of Interannual variability of the OMZ





#### Evaluation of Interannual variability of the OMZ



## Long-term variability of the OMZ



- decreasing oxygen trends between 10 m and 150 m depth in observations and model



## Long-term variability of the OMZ



- decreasing oxygen trends between 10 m and 150 m depth in observations and model

Instituto francés de Investigación para el Desarrollo

- model reproduces oxycline shoaling trend (~ -10 m/decade)
- subsampling the model results leads to overestimation of trend
  - => observed trend could be weaker than the real trend !

## Investigating the forcing of the OMZ long-term variability

Source of variability : equatorial currents and/or local wind stress ?

Open boundary forcing (mainly near the equator)





## Investigating the forcing of the long-term variability

Source of variability : equatorial currents and/or local wind stress ?





Different climatological wind forcing + interannual boundary conditions



## Investigating the forcing of the long-term variability

Source of variability : equatorial currents and/or local wind stress ?

## Open boundary forcing (mainly near the equator)



## Different climatological wind forcing + interannual boundary conditions



+ climatological boundary conditions

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Investigating the forcing of the long-term variability

Source of variability : equatorial currents and/or local wind stress ?

## Open boundary forcing (mainly near the equator)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Different climatological wind forcing + interannual boundary conditions

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

Different **interannual** wind forcing + **climatological** boundary conditions

=> Reducing oxygen flux by equatorial currents (ventilation) drives deoxygenation in 1970-2008 period

Impact of climate change on the Peruvian Upwelling system :

Echevin et al., Biogeoch. Discuss., 2020

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

Year

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

- strong model bias in CMIP5 ESM

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- no/weak coastal upwelling in ESM => necessary to use regional models

to downscale climate and BGC signals

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Moss et al., 2010

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- strong bias in nitracline depth in ESM

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Nearshore nitracline depth in ROMS-PISCES

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

- strong bias in nitracline depth in ESM
- regional downscaling corrects part of the bias

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

=> ROMS-PISCES surface chlorophyll range is correct ≠ global models
 => surface chl trends are very differents from those in the global models
 => weak surface chl trends in ROMS-PISCES in spite of nutricline deepening

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Chlorophyll trend vertical structure

=> stable phytoplankton concentration at

surface and reduction below

#### **CROCO-PISCES:** tool to understand mechanisms

- What is the impact of small scale (submesoscale) dynamics in the Peru upwelling system?

Thomsen et al. (2016), Geophysical Res. Let. 90°W 80°W 70°W Hauschildt et al., (2021), Biogeochemical cycles. CROCO 1/9° Model configuration CROCO-PISCES model (1/9°~13 km) 0° · + offline zoom (1/45°~2.6 km) CROCO 1/45° 20°S SST 16 18 20 22 26 28 24 Model SST (°C)

- there is a lot of subduction in upwelling systems!

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

- there is a lot of subduction in upwelling systems!

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

- there is a lot of subduction in upwelling systems!

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_3.jpeg)

Subduction (downwelling) on the cold side of the front, upwelling on the warm side

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Thomsen et al., 2016

Lagrangian approach (ROMS offline) : what are the characteristics of upwelled water parcels ?

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

Commission

## Modelling the dissolved oxygen cycle on the Senegalese shelf: physical and biogeochemical processes, and Lagrangian analyses

Abdoul Wahab Tall, Vincent Echevin, Eric Machu and Xavier Capet

#### Why study dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Senegalese shelf?

#### Deoxygenation trends (Breitburg et al., 2018)

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Why study dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Senegalese shelf?

µmol/kg

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Why study dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Senegalese shelf ?

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Serranidae (fish) mortality attributed to low oxygen concentrations

µmol/kg

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Why study dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Senegalese shelf ?

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Why study dissolved oxygen (DO) in the Senegalese shelf ?

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Study bottom DO variability during the upwelling season over the Senegalese shelf using a regional model:

- Understand the role of the physical and biogeochemical processes controlling the DO budget
- Study the characteristics of the upwelled source waters using a Lagrangian approach

#### Modelling the DO cycle : CROCO-PISCES model architecture

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### modelling the DO cycle : CROCO-PISCES model characteristics

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 10 km, 50 vertical levels, 225x290 grid points
- 2 km, 50 vertical levels, 201x302 grid points

- Forcings:
  ASCAT wind stress (daily, 2014-19)
  Climatological heat/freshwater fluxes (COADS)
  PISCES: dust deposition (Iron) climato
- Initial/Boundary Conditions : Mercator (1/12°) PISCES: WOA, GLODAP
- BGC model PISCES:
  - Oxygen budget
  - BGC diagnostics (Primary production, export,...)

#### **DO Budget : CROCO-PISCES model validation**

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Good match between modelled and observed DO

#### **DO Budget : CROCO-PISCES model validation**

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### DO Budget : Physical and biogeochemical processes

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Oxygen Budget : focus on physical processes

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Transport of poorly oxygenated water <sup>1</sup> by the onshore currents on the shelf
- Maximum advection due to strong DO gradient near 20-30 m isobaths
- Ventilation of the bottom layer by vertical mixing of surface oxygenated water and low DO bottom water

![](_page_52_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Oxygen Budget : focus on biogeochemical processes

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

Photosynthesis  $\Rightarrow$  sources

- Regenerated production significantly higher than new production over entire shelf
- New production strong in the north where the upwelling of subsurface nitrate is located

a)

14°N

Near-bottom vertical velocity during upwelling season (Ndoye et al., 2017 **using the same model**)

#### Oxygen Budget : focus on biogeochemical processes

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

- DO BGC consumption = OM remineralization, which largely compensates production by photosynthesis
- Zooplankton (microzoo + mesozoo) respiration levels lower than OM remineralization
- Mesozooplankton respiration dominates microzooplankton respiration.

#### Analysis of the water masses reaching the shelf : methodology

- floats transported 16<sup>0</sup>N backwards in time for 30 days
- 500 floats released  $_{15^{\circ}N}$ between 30 & 40 m around Melax station, every 5 days (Feb-April), 2015-19
- 45000 floats in total
- DO, depth, vertical  $_{13^{\circ}N}$ mixing, BGC terms registered along each trajectory

12°

![](_page_55_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Increase of DO during one month
- weak change during the first 15 days
- Strong change during the last 15 days

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Low DO supply by vertical mixing in 2017 and 2016

- Low DO consumption by BGC in 2016
- Very strong mixing and consumption in 2015 because of shallow source waters

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Conclusions

- Physics brings DO and biology consumes DO in the bottom layer on the continental shelf during the upwelling season
- Advection decreases DO by transporting low DO subsurface water onto the shelf
- Vertical mixing ventilates the bottom layer
- Consumption of DO by remineralization of organic matter strongly compensates production of DO by photosynthesis in the bottom layer
- The source waters show high interannual variability, with the lowest oxygen levels encountered in 2017 associated with reduced vertical mixing

#### Oxygen Budget : focus on physical processes (total advection)

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Currents flow towards the coast
- Low DO deep waters transported on the shelf
- Strong horizontal DO gradient between 20-30 m isobaths
- Maximum advection near 20-30 m isobaths

#### Oxygen Budget : focus on physical processes (vertical mixing)

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Strong vertical Kz gradient between 20-30 m isobaths
  - The greater vertical mixing between 20-30 m isobaths is due to a DO influx from the oxygenated layers above by friction on the bottom combined with the strong vertical gradient.

#### characteristics of the source waters one month before reaching shelf

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)